

1  
2  
3  
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, et al. No. C 95-3524 SI

8 Plaintiffs, [CORRECTED PROPOSED]  
9 HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE, INC., et al., AMENDED JUDGMENT

10 Defendants.  
11 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
12

13 Findings subsequent to bench trial have been made in favor of plaintiffs concerning  
14 unenforceability of the '708 and '270 patents based on inequitable conduct. However, summary  
15 judgments of non-infringement of claims 1-6, 10-19 and 22-40 of the '708 patent, invalidity of  
16 claims 1-19, 22-40 and 43-45 of the '708 patent and invalidity of claims 1-2, 11-12, 14-15, 17-18,  
17 20-21, 23-24, 26-27, 29-30 and 32-36 of the '270 patent have previously been entered in favor of  
18 defendants.

19 Accordingly, judgment is entered in favor of defendants Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., Roche  
20 Molecular Systems, Inc., Roche Diagnostics Systems, Inc., Roche Biomedical Laboratories, Inc.,  
21 The Perkin-Elmer Corporation and Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings.

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.  
24

25 May 14, 2007  
26 DATED: April \_\_\_, 2007

27  
28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge